AP Biology: Lab 4 Diffusion and Osmosis Peer Review

Report by_____

Reviewed by______ Reviewed by______ Reviewed by______

YOU MUST ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING	Section 1: Introduction and Guiding Question			Reviewer Rating			
WORDS AND	Is there enough background information and is it accurate?			□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
CONCEPTS IN YOUR INTRODUCTION:	Is the guiding question explicit and did the author EXPLAIN HOW the question is related to the background information?		🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes		
Isotonic, hypertonic, hypotonic, water potential, solvent potential, pressure potential, semipermeable membrane, cell wall, turgor pressure concentration gradient, molarity, aquaporins, permeability of solutes, diffusion, osmosis, passive transport, plasmolysis	Reviewers: EXPLAIN HOW the author of improve this part of his or her report.	ould	Author: What revision report?	ons did yo	ou make in yo	ur	
Section 2: Method				Reviewer Rating			
Did the author describe the procedures and EXPLAIN WHY the procedures were used				🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
	e what data were collected and EXPLAIN			🗆 No	□ Partially	🗆 Yes	
Reviewers: EXPLAIN H	DW the author could improve this part	Author: W	hat revisions did you n	nake in y	our report?		

Section 3: The Argument				Reviewer Rating		
Did the author make a claim consistent with the evidence that answers the guiding question?				□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Did the author describe how he/she analyzed the data and EXPLAIN WHY the analysis helped him/her answer the guiding question?		□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Is the analysis of the data appropriate a		□No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Quality of Evidence	Is the author's interpretation of the ana			□ Partially	□ Yes	
	MANDATORY DATA: graphical determination of potato cell molarity, standard errors for each solution, solute potential of potato cells			□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Are tables and graphs correctly formatted, labeled, and are metric units					
Presentation of	used?		□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Evidence	Are specific values from tables and graphs referenced in the body of the text?		□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Did the author state WHAT scientific concept is being defended?		🗆 No	Partially	□ Yes	
Justification of Evidence	Did the author DESCRIBE the data from their analysis used as evidence in support of the scientific concept?		□No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Did the author EXPLAIN HOW the evidence supports the underlying scientific concept?		□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Did the author FXPI 	IN HOW his or her claim agrees with the o	claims made by other groups and				
PROVIDE REASONS for	-	sisting frage by other groups and	🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
	IOW the author could improve this part	Author: What revisions did you r	i nake in v	our report?	1	
of his or her report.			,			
Mechanics				Reviewer Rating		
Organization: Is each section easy to follow? Do paragraphs include multiple sentences: Do paragraphs begin with a topic sentence?			🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Grammar: Are the sentences complete? Is there proper subject-verb agreement in each sentence? Are there run-on sentences?			□No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Conventions: Did the author use appropriate spelling, punctuation, paragraphing and						
capitalization:			□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Word Choice: Did the author use the appropriate words (e.g., there vs. their, to vs. too, than vs. then, etc.)?			□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Fluency: Does the paper as a whole flow well? Do the sections follow each other to give a complete idea of the lab?			□ No	□ Partially	🛛 Yes	