AP Biology: Lab 5 Photosynthesis Peer Review

Report by					
Reviewed by	Reviewed by Reviewed b	Reviewed by			
YOU MUST ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING WORDS AND CONCEPTS IN YOUR INTRODUCTION:	Section 1: Introduction and Guiding Question	Reviewer Rating			
	Is there enough background information and is it accurate?	□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Abiotic and/or biotic factors, photons, wavelength,	Is the guiding question explicit and did the author EXPLAIN HOW the question is related to the background information? Reviewers: EXPLAIN HOW the author could improve this part of	□ No	Partially	□ Yes	
H ₂ O, equations for photosynthesis and cellular respiration, rate, electrons, proton gradient, electron carrier, proton pump, Calvin cycle					
Section 2: Method		Reviewer Rating			
Did the author describe the pro	cedures and EXPLAIN WHY the procedures were used?	□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Did the author describe what d	ata were collected and EXPLAIN WHY the data were collected?	□ No	Partially	🗆 Yes	

Reviewers: **EXPLAIN HOW** the author could improve this part of his or her report.

Section 3: The Argument		Reviewer Rating		
Did the author make a	Did the author make a claim consistent with the evidence that answers the guiding question?		□ Partially	□ Yes
Quality of Evidence	Did the author describe how he/she analyzed the data and EXPLAIN WHY the analysis helped him/her answer the guiding question?	🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes
	Is the analysis of the data appropriate and free from errors?	□No	Partially	🗆 Yes
	Is the author's interpretation of the analysis valid?	🗆 No	Partially	🗆 Yes
	MANDATORY DATA: Light intensity, rate of change in net photosynthetic rate, 1/ET ₅₀	□ No	□ Partially	🗆 Yes
Presentation of Evidence	Are tables and graphs correctly formatted, labeled, and are metric units used?	🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes
	Are specific values from tables and graphs referenced in the body of the text?	□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes
Justification of Evidence	Did the author state WHAT scientific concept is being defended?	🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes
	Did the author DESCRIBE the data from their analysis used as evidence in support of the scientific concept?	□No	□ Partially	□ Yes
	Did the author EXPLAIN HOW the evidence supports the underlying scientific concept?	🗆 No	□ Partially	🗆 Yes

Reviewers: **EXPLAIN HOW** the author could improve this part of his or her report.

Mechanics		Reviewer Rating		
Organization: Is each section easy to follow? Do paragraphs include multiple sentences: Do paragraphs begin with a topic sentence?	□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Grammar: Are the sentences complete? Is there proper subject-verb agreement in each sentence? Are there run-on sentences?		□ Partially	□ Yes	
Conventions: Did the author use appropriate spelling, punctuation, paragraphing and capitalization:		□ Partially	□ Yes	
Word Choice: Did the author use the appropriate words (e.g., there vs. their, to vs. too, than vs. then, etc.)?		□ Partially	□ Yes	
Fluency: Does the paper as a whole flow well? Do the sections follow each other to give a complete idea of the lab?		□ Partially	🛛 Yes	