AP Biology: Lab 06 Cellular Respiration Peer Review

Report by_____

Reviewed by_____ Reviewed by_____ Reviewed by_____

YOU MUST ADDRESS	Section 1: Introduction and Guiding Question			Reviewer Rating		
THE FOLLOWING WORDS AND	Is there enough background information and is it accurate?			🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes
CONCEPTS IN YOUR INTRODUCTION:	Is the guiding question explicit and did the author EXPLAIN HOW the question is related to the background information?			□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes
The equation for cellular respiration, enzyme activity and structure, glycolysis, citric acid cycle, electron transport chain, substrate level phosphorylation, oxidative phosphorylation, ATP, NADH, cytoplasm, mitochondria, proton motive force, ATP synthase, abiotic or biotic factor(s) and how it(they) could have affected the rate of cellular respiration	Reviewers: EXPLAIN HOW the author c improve this part of his or her report.	ould	Author: What revision report?	ons did yo	ou make in yo	ur
Section 2: Method			Reviewer Rating			
Did the author describe the procedures and EXPLAIN WHY the procedures were used?				□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes
Did the author describe what data were collected and EXPLAIN WHY the data were collected?			□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Reviewers: EXPLAIN HC of his or her report.	DW the author could improve this part	Author: Wi	hat revisions did you r	nake in y	our report?	

Section 3: The Argument			Reviewer Rating		
Did the author make a claim consistent with the evidence that answers the guiding question?			□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Did the author describe how he/she analyzed the data and EXPLAIN WHY the analysis helped him/her answer the guiding question?		□ Partially	□ Yes	
Quality of Evidence	Is the analysis of the data appropriate and free from errors?		□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Is the author's interpretation of the analysis valid?		Partially	□ Yes	
	Are tables and graphs correctly formatted, labeled, and are metric units				
	used?		□ Partially	□ Yes	
Presentation of Evidence	Are specific values from tables and graphs referenced in the body of the text?		□ Partially	🗆 Yes	
	MANDATORY DATA: Respiration rate, comparison of O_2 production and CO_2 consumption		□ Partially	□ Yes	
	Did the author state WHAT scientific concept is being defended?		□ Partially	□ Yes	
lustification of	Did the author DESCRIBE the data from their analysis used as evidence				
Justification of Evidence	in support of the scientific concept?	□No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Evidence	Did the author EXPLAIN HOW the evidence supports the underlying scientific concept?		□ Partially	□ Yes	
Did the author EXPLA PROVIDE REASONS for	IN HOW his or her claim agrees with the claims made by other groups and or disagreements?	□ No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
	HOW the author could improve this part Author: What revisions did you	nake in v	our report?		
Machanics		Pou	iowor Poi	ting	
Mechanics			viewer Rat		
Organization: Is each section easy to follow? Do paragraphs include multiple sentences: Do paragraphs begin with a topic sentence?		🗆 No	□ Partially	□ Yes	
Grammar: Are the sentences complete? Is there proper subject-verb agreement in each sentence? Are there run-on sentences?			□ Partially	□ Yes	
Conventions: Did the author use appropriate spelling, punctuation, paragraphing and			□ Partially	□ Yes	
capitalization: Word Choice: Did the author use the appropriate words (e.g., there vs. their, to vs. too, than vs.					
then, etc.)? Fluency: Does the paper as a whole flow well? Do the sections follow each other to give a			□ Partially	□ Yes	
complete idea of the lab?			□ Partially	🛛 Yes	