AP Biology: Lab 13 Enzyme Activity Peer Review

Report by_____

Reviewed by______ Reviewed by______ Reviewed by______

| YOU MUST ADDRESS | Section 1: Introduction and Guiding Question | | | Reviewer Rating | | |
|--|---|------|--|------------------------|---------------|-------|
| THE FOLLOWING WORDS AND | Is there enough background information and is it accurate? | | | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes |
| CONCEPTS IN YOUR INTRODUCTION: | Is the guiding question explicit and did the author EXPLAIN HOW the question is related to the background information? | | | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes |
| Enzyme, catalyst, activation energy, chemical reaction, rate, optimal temperature, pH, substrate concentration, enzyme concentration, cause and effect, conservation of matter, structure and function | Reviewers: EXPLAIN HOW the author of improve this part of his or her report. | ould | Author: What revision report? | ons did yo | ou make in yo | ur |
| Section 2: Method | | | I | Reviewer Rating | | |
| Did the author describe the procedures and EXPLAIN WHY the procedures were used? | | | | | □ Partially | □ Yes |
| | e what data were collected and EXPLAIN | | | 🗆 No | □ Partially | 🗆 Yes |
| | e what data were collected and EXPLAIN OW the author could improve this part | | ita were collected? hat revisions did you r | | | ☐ Yes |

| Section 3: The Argument | | | | Reviewer Rating | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-------|--|
| Did the author make a claim consistent with the evidence that answers the guiding question? | | | | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| | Did the author describe how he/she analyzed the data and EXPLAIN WHY the analysis helped him/her answer the guiding question? | | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Quality of Evidence | Is the analysis of the data appropriate a | | □No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| | Is the author's interpretation of the ana | | □ No | Partially | 🗆 Yes | |
| | MANDATORY DATA: floats/sec, mean | | □ No | Partially | 🗆 Yes | |
| | Are tables and graphs correctly formatted, labeled, and are metric units | | | | | |
| Presentation of | used? | | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Evidence | Are specific values from tables and graphs referenced in the body of the text? | | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| | Did the author state WHAT scientific co | ncept is being defended? | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Justification of | Did the author DESCRIBE the data from | · · · | | | | |
| | in support of the scientific concept? | | □No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Evidence | Did the author EXPLAIN HOW the evidence supports the underlying | | | | | |
| | scientific concept? | | 🗆 No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Did the author EXPLA | IN HOW his or her claim agrees with the o | laims made by other groups and | | | | |
| PROVIDE REASONS for | 0 | , 31 | 🗆 No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Reviewers: EXPLAIN H | IOW the author could improve this part | Author: What revisions did you r | nake in y | our report? | | |
| of his or her report. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Mechanics | кеч | Reviewer Rating | | | | |
| Organization: Is each section easy to follow? Do paragraphs include multiple sentences: Do paragraphs begin with a topic sentence? | | | □ No | □ Partially | 🗆 Yes | |
| Grammar: Are the sentences complete? Is there proper subject-verb agreement in each sentence? Are there run-on sentences? | | | | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Conventions: Did the author use appropriate spelling, punctuation, paragraphing and | | | | | | |
| capitalization: | | | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Word Choice: Did the author use the appropriate words (e.g., there vs. their, to vs. too, than vs. then, etc.)? | | | □ No | □ Partially | □ Yes | |
| Fluency: Does the paper as a whole flow well? Do the sections follow each other to give a complete idea of the lab? | | | □ No | Partially | 🛛 Yes | |
| complete luca of the | I | | 1 | | | |